



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: REMERICQ, MAURICE

SERIAL NO.: 10/047,907

ART UNIT: 3652

FILED: January 15, 2002

EXAMINER: BRAHAN, T.J.

TITLE: PROCESS AND A DEVICE FOR THE ON-LINE STORAGE OF SETS OF FLAT PRODUCTS SUCH AS, IN PARTICULAR, DISPOSABLE LINERS OR SANITARY NAPKINS

REMARKS ON AMENDMENT "A"

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of September 10, 2003, having a response being due on December 10, 2003, please consider the following remarks in conjunction with the amendments to the above-identified application as follows:

REMARKS

Upon entry of the present amendments, previous Claims 1 - 18 have been canceled and new Claims 19 - 36 substituted therefor. Reconsideration of the rejections, in light of the foregoing amendments and present remarks, is respectfully requested. The present amendments have been entered for the purpose of placing the application into a proper condition for allowance.

In the Official Action, Claims 1 -18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Additionally, the claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as lacking an enabling disclosure. The disclosure was objected to because of various informalities in

paragraphs 47, 50, 53, 55 and 61. The drawings were also objected to as failing to show all of the features recited in the claims.

As an overview to present reply, Applicant has amended original Claims 1 - 18 in the form of new Claims 19 - 36. New Claims 19 - 36 recite the limitations of original Claims 1 - 18, respectively, but express such limitations in a more proper U.S. format. The new claims herein have been expressed in a proper U.S. format, including proper antecedent bases and proper structural interrelationships throughout. Any indefinite terminology found in the claims has been corrected herein. As such, Applicant respectfully contends that Claims 19 - 36 are now in a proper condition for allowance.

Applicant has revised the disclosure, in particular in paragraphs 47, 50, 53, 55 and 61 in accordance with the suggestions of the Examiner. Applicant has also removed those features from the Claims 1, 5, 16 and 18 that were not shown in the drawings.

Applicant notes that there have been no prior art rejections of the claims in the present application. As such, Applicant believes the present claims are now in a proper condition for allowance.


Applicant will forward a certified copy of the priority document associated with the present application in the very near future.

Based upon the foregoing analysis, Applicant contends that independent Claim 19 are now in proper condition for allowance. Additionally, those claims which are dependent upon these Claim 19 should also be in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the rejections and allowance of

the claims at an early date is earnestly solicited. Since no new claims have been added above those originally paid for, no additional fee is required.

Respectfully submitted,

12-9-03
Date



John S. Egbert
Reg. No. 30,627
Andrew W. Chu
Reg. No. 46,625
Attorney for Applicant
Harrison & Egbert
412 Main Street, 7th Floor
Houston, Texas 77002
(713)224-8080
(713)223-4873 fax